arXiv:1207.0123v2 [math.KT] 3 Jul 2012

THE KH-THEORY OF COMPLETE SIMPLICIAL TORIC VARIETIES AND THE ALGEBRAIC K-THEORY OF WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE SPACES

ADAM MASSEY

Abstract. We show that, for a complete simplicial toric variety X, we can determine its homotopy K-theory (denoted KH-theory) entirely in terms of the torus pieces of open sets forming an open cover of X. We accomplish this by constructing a simplicial scheme BOT_X and constructing a relationship between the spectrum $\mathrm{KH}(X)$ and a certain spectrum determined by BOT_X. We then construct conditions under which, given two complete simplicial toric varieties with the same simplicial structure, the two spectra $\mathrm{KH}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{KH}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are weakly equivalent. We apply this result to determine the rational KH-theory of weighted projective spaces. We next examine K-regularity for complete toric surfaces; in particular, we show that complete toric surfaces (and therefore 2-dimensional weighted projective spaces) are K_0-regular. We then determine conditions under which our approach for dimension 2 works in arbitrary dimensions, before demonstrating that weighted projective spaces are not K_1-regular, and for dimensions bigger than 2 are also not in general K_0-regular.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we examine the basic properties of complete simplicial toric varieties, and use these properties to compute their K-theory. Following the ideas of [CHWW, Proposition 5.6], the K-theory of any toric variety (in particular, any weighted projective space) over a field of characteristic 0 is obtained as a direct sum of the KH-theory and another invariant of that toric variety called its $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$. We begin by focusing on the KH-theory; the $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$ groups turn out to be much more difficult and are handled separately.

We conjecture that if X and Y are two complete simplicial toric varieties with the same simplicial structure (see Definition 3.2), then the KH-theories of X and Y are isomorphic; however, we are currently unable to prove a relationship between $\mathrm{KH}(X)$ and $\mathrm{KH}(Y)$ exists under these conditions. To remedy this, we add additional conditions to force a relationship between X and Y, and in turn a relationship between $\mathrm{KH}(X)$ and $\mathrm{KH}(Y)$. We do this via the construction of simplicial schemes BOT_X and BOT_Y (see Definition 4.3). It turns out that these two simplicial schemes will have a relationship if we assume certain relationships between the fans of X and Y. The main goal of the first half of this paper is to construct and examine this relationship.

Date: July 4, 2012.

Key words and phrases. Toric Varieties, Algebraic K-Theory, Weighted Projective Spaces, K-regularity.

Using this relationship, we can construct a relationship between the KH-theory of ordinary projective space and the KH-theory of any weighted projective space. In particular, if $\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)$ is a weighted projective space of dimension d, then the rank of $\mathrm{KH}_0(\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d))$ is $d + 1$.

Using these ideas, our goal throughout this paper will be to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)$ be any weighted projective space of dimension d over a field k. Then:*

(a) *If the characteristic of k does not divide the product $q_0 \cdot q_1 \cdots q_d$, then for every n, we have $\mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}^d) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.*

If the characteristic of k is 0, then we can conclude the following additional results:

(b) *Any 2-dimensional weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(a, b, c)$ is K_0-regular.*
(c) *Any d-dimensional weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)$ whose singular set consists of only isolated singular points is K_0-regular.*
(d) *If our weighted projective space is of the form $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, ..., 1, a)$, then for $n \leq 0$ we can conclude the stronger statement $\mathrm{K}_n(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, ..., 1, a)) \cong \mathrm{K}_n(\mathbb{P}^d)$.*

Theorem 1.1 is proven in several stages. Most of our work towards proving Theorem 1.1 is done by proving Theorem 3.3; as such, much of this paper will focus on the proof of (and applications of) Theorem 3.3.

We begin in Section 2 by establishing the basic notation and terminology that we will use throughout the paper. In Section 3, we introduce the basic notion of the simplicial structure of a complete simplicial toric variety before moving on to construct the simplicial scheme BOT_X in Section 4. Using BOT_X, we determine conditions under which BOT_X and BOT_Y are related in Section 5, and use that relationship to construct a relationship between the KH-theories of X and Y in Section 6. We apply these ideas to weighted projective spaces in Section 7.

Finally, we examine the K-regularity of complete toric varieties and weighted projective spaces. Focusing primarily on the case where the dimension of the toric variety is 2 and the underlying field has characteristic 0, we begin by proving the $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$ Decomposition Theorem for complete toric surfaces in Section 8, and use it to prove that any complete toric surface is K_0-regular. In Section 9, we examine the failure of the $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$ Decomposition Theorem to hold in higher dimensions, and determine additional conditions on the toric variety that allow a variant of the $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$ Decomposition Theorem to hold. Using this variant, we can again show that, in this case, higher dimensional complete toric varieties satisfying this extra condition are K_0-regular. We conclude in Section 10 by demonstrating that weighted projective spaces are not K_1-regular in general, and that if the dimension of our weighted projective space is bigger than 2 and the singular set has dimension bigger than 0, then our weighted projective space need not be K_0-regular. Thus, weighted projective spaces are not in general K_0-regular as well.

2. Toric Varieties: Notations and Terminology

In this section we establish the notation and basic definitions/results about toric varieties that we will assume throughout the paper. Much of what we discuss can be found in [Ful], which is the standard reference on the subject, and [Cox]. One can also find many of the basics that we assume for this paper discussed in the early sections of [CHWW]. Note that throughout this paper, unless otherwise mentioned, we make no assumption on the characteristic of k.

We call X a toric variety if it is a normal variety along with a split algebraic torus $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^n$ embedded as a Zariski dense open subset and an action of T on X extending the obvious action of T on itself. The case in which the torus is not split will not be considered in this paper.

Let N be a lattice of finite rank, and $M = \mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual lattice. We let $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $M_{\mathbb{R}} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = \mathrm{Hom}(N_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{Z})$. For $m \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $n \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$, we let $\langle m, n\rangle$ denote the value of m applied to n.

A rational, strongly convex polyhedral cone $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a subset of the form $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}v_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}v_k$ for some $v_1, ..., v_k \in N$, and if both u and $-u$ are in σ, then $u = 0$. Throughout this paper, when we say cone, we mean a rational, strongly convex polyhedral cone. We define the dimension of σ, denoted $\dim(\sigma)$, to be the dimension of the subspace $\mathrm{Span}\{v_1, ..., v_k\} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Given a cone σ, we define the dual cone to be $\sigma^{\vee} = \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}} | \langle m, n\rangle \geq 0 \ for \ n \in \sigma\}$. We define the affine toric variety associated to σ, which we denote U_σ, to be $U_\sigma = \mathrm{Spec}\,(k[\sigma^{\vee} \cap M])$, where we write $\sigma^{\vee} \cap M$ multiplicatively. When we want to indicate that U_σ is an open subset of the variety X, we will do so by writing it as U_σ^X.

Given a cone σ, we say that τ is a face of σ, and write $\tau \prec \sigma$, if $\tau = \{n \in \sigma | \langle m, n\rangle = 0\}$ for some $m \in \sigma^{\vee}$. Notice that any face of a strongly convex polyhedral cone is again a strongly convex polyhedral cone. We define a facet of σ to be a face of codimension 1. A *fan* Δ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a collection of cones such that if $\tau \prec \sigma$ and $\sigma \in \Delta$, then $\tau \in \Delta$ and such that if $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Delta$ then $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 \prec \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 \prec \sigma_2$.

If $\tau \prec \sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$, then we get an inclusion $U_\tau \longrightarrow U_\sigma$, and in fact U_τ is a principal open subset of U_σ. Therefore, given any fan Δ, we can construct a variety $X(\Delta)$ by taking affine opens U_σ for all $\sigma \in \Delta$ and then, for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Delta$, gluing U_{σ_1} and U_{σ_2} along $U_{\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2}$. $X(\Delta)$ is in fact a toric variety, with U_0 as its torus (here 0 denotes the cone consisting only of the origin in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$). There is a converse, due to Sumihiro, which says that any toric variety is given by a fan inside some lattice; see [Sum]. When referring to the toric variety associated to a fan Δ, we write $X(\Delta)$; conversely, when given a toric variety X, we denote by Δ_X its associated fan and we denote by N^X the lattice in which Δ_X lives.

Suppose X and Y are two toric varieties, and Δ_X and Δ_Y are their respective fans; if we have a lattice morphism that induces a map $\varphi : \Delta_X \longrightarrow \Delta_Y$ such that for any cone $\sigma \in \Delta_X$, $\varphi(\sigma)$ is contained in a cone inside Δ_Y, then φ induces a morphism of toric varieties $X \longrightarrow Y$. To construct the map, notice that because $\varphi(\sigma)$ is contained in a cone inside Δ_Y (which we will call σ' for convenience), we induce a map $U_\sigma \longrightarrow U_{\sigma'}$ for every cone $\sigma \in \Delta_X$. Then we glue them together. Throughout this paper, we will use the notion of toric morphism and lattice/fan morphism interchangeably.

We define the *support* of a fan Δ, denoted $|\Delta|$, to be the union of all cones in Δ as viewed inside $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We say a toric variety X is *complete* if $|\Delta_X| = N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

We say that a cone σ is *simplicial* if its minimal set of generators $\{v_1, ..., v_k\}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$. We say that a fan Δ is simplicial if every cone $\sigma \in \Delta$ is simplicial, and we say that a toric variety X is simplicial if its associated fan Δ_X is simplicial. Similarly, we say that a cone σ is *smooth* if its minimal set of generators $\{v_1, ..., v_k\}$ is part of a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of N. We say that a fan Δ is smooth if every cone $\sigma \in \Delta$ is smooth, and we say that a toric variety X is smooth if its associated fan Δ_X is smooth. Notice that any smooth cone/fan/toric variety must also automatically be simplicial.

Let N be a lattice, and $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a cone. We define $N_\sigma = (\sigma \cap N) + (-\sigma \cap N)$ to be the sublattice of N generated by σ. Similarly, we define $\widetilde{N}_\sigma = N/N_\sigma$.

One important fan that we can create from a given fan Δ (inside the lattice N) is the star of a given cone τ, denoted $\mathrm{Star}(\tau)$. The star of the cone τ is the fan consisting of all cones $\sigma \in \Delta$ such that $\tau \prec \sigma$, but considered inside the lattice $\widetilde{N}_\tau$. The toric variety $X(\mathrm{Star}(\tau))$ is a closed subvariety of $X(\Delta)$, and the dimension of the cone τ is the codimension of $X(\mathrm{Star}(\tau))$ inside $X(\Delta)$; in particular, if τ is a maximal cone, then $X(\mathrm{Star}(\tau))$ is a point inside $X(\Delta)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let σ be a p-dimensional cone in a lattice N with $\dim N_{\mathbb{R}} = n$. Then $U_\sigma \cong U_{\sigma'} \times T$, where $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^{n-p}$ is a split algebraic torus of rank $n-p$.*

Proof. The key idea is to take a splitting $N \cong N_\sigma \oplus N''$; in this splitting we have $\sigma = \sigma' \oplus \{0\}$, where σ' is a maximal cone in N_σ. Taking duals gives us the splitting $M \cong M' \oplus M''$, and following the construction of U_σ given above yields $\mathrm{Spec}\,(k[\sigma^\vee \cap M] = k[(\sigma'^\vee \cap M') \oplus M''])$, which gives $U_\sigma \cong U_{\sigma'} \times T$. See [Ful, Page 29] for the full details.

□

Definition 2.2. *We define the "torus piece of U_σ" to be $\mathrm{Spec}(k[\mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{N}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})])$, and we denote this torus piece by T_σ. When we want to indicate that T_σ is the torus part of an open subset U_σ of the variety X, we will do so by writing it as T_σ^X.*

Remark 2.3. The torus T constructed in Proposition 2.1 is the torus piece T_σ of U_σ in the sense of Definition 2.2. Indeed, observe that in the splitting of N given in the proof of Proposition 2.1, $N'' \cong \widetilde{N}_\sigma$; hence $\widetilde{N}_\sigma$ could have instead been used to construct the torus piece in Proposition 2.1. These ideas will be used interchangeably throughout the paper.

One particularly important class of simplicial toric varieties that we will be interested in is the class of weighted projective spaces. Let $q_0, ..., q_d$ be positive integers with $\gcd(q_0, ..., q_d) = 1$. Weighted projective space, denoted $\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)$, is constructed by

$$\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d) = \mathbb{A}^{d+1} \setminus \{0\} / \sim \tag{2.1}$$

where $(a_0, ..., a_d) \sim (b_0, ..., b_d)$ if and only if there is a $\lambda \in k$ such that $a_i = \lambda^{q_i} \cdot b_i$ for all $i = 0, ..., d$. Notice that ordinary projective space is the weighted projective space where all the weights are 1; namely, $\mathbb{P}^d = \mathbb{P}(1, ..., 1)$. To find the fan, consider the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ with basis $\{f_0, ..., f_d\}$; then we consider the lattice $N = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}/\langle q_0 f_0 + \cdots + q_d f_d \rangle$. Consider the set $\{y_0, ..., y_d\}$ of residues of $\{f_0, ..., f_d\}$ in N. Then $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)}$ is the fan consisting of all cones generated by proper subsets of $\{y_0, ..., y_d\}$. Observe that if all the weights are equal to 1 and we follow the method described above for the construction of $X(\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^d})$, we recover the usual construction for $\mathbb{P}^d$ by gluing together copies of $\mathbb{A}^d$.

3. The Simplicial Structure of a Complete Simplicial Toric Variety

From [CHWW, Proposition 5.6], the algebraic K-theory of any toric variety X is determined completely by its KH-theory and its $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$ groups. We begin by examining the KH-theory of complete simplicial toric varieties.

The initial impulse the reader might have is to use the fact that toric varieties have a very nice resolution of singularities (see [Cox, Theorem 5.1]), and then combine that idea with the fact that KH satisfies cdh-descent, as proven in [Hae]. For very simple examples, such as $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, ..., 1, a)$, this is a reasonable approach.

However, this method is not effective as a general approach to calculating the KH-theory of even just weighted projective spaces. The problem is that even in dimension 2, the number of steps needed to resolve the singularities can be quite large, and therefore we can be confronted with uncontrollable exceptional varieties. Consider, for instance, the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 5, 7)$. To completely resolve all singularities, we must add in five additional one-dimensional cones. The exceptional variety will, in this case, be a disjoint union of a chain of three copies of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and a chain of two copies of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Instead, we examine the simplicial structure of toric varieties, and how the simplicial structure affects the KH-theory. We begin with calculating the KH-theory of U_σ for any cone σ. Recall from Proposition 2.1 and Definition 2.2 that, given any p-dimensional cone σ in N, where $\dim N_{\mathbb{R}} = m$, we get $U_\sigma \cong U_{\sigma'} \times T_\sigma$, where T_σ is a split torus of rank $m - p$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let σ be any p-dimensional cone. Then*

$$\mathrm{K}(T_\sigma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}(T_\sigma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}(U_\sigma) \tag{3.1}$$

are weak equivalences as spectra. In other words, the KH *groups of an open set corresponding to a cone are just the* K *groups of its associated torus piece.*

Proof. The first map is a weak equivalence since algebraic tori are smooth. The second map is seen to be a weak equivalence by combining the definition of U_σ with [Wei1, Theorem 1.2, Part (a)]. See the proof of [CHWW, Proposition 5.6].

□

It is the result of Proposition 3.1 that provides the intuition for the approach we use. Our goal will be to build some relationship between a complete simplicial toric variety and a scheme that is built from all the torus pieces of open sets associated to maximal cones covering that toric variety, and then use this scheme of torus pieces to develop a relationship between the KH-theories of two complete simplicial toric varieties with the same simplicial structure.

As we saw above, the KH-theory of an open set associated to a cone depended only on the torus piece. This leads us to consider ways in which we might use the simplicial structure of a toric variety to determine its KH-theory. In order to do this, we must first make our definition of simplicial structure clear.

Definition 3.2. *Let X be a complete simplicial toric variety, and $\Delta_X(1)$ be the set of 1-dimensional rays in the fan of X. Let $\mathrm{S}(\Delta_X)$ denote the set of all sets of rays in $\Delta_X(1)$ that form a cone in the fan Δ_X. Since X is assumed simplicial, any subset of a set of rays forming a cone also forms a cone; therefore, $\mathrm{S}(\Delta_X)$ forms a simplicial complex. We define the "simplicial structure" of X to be the simplicial complex $\mathrm{S}(\Delta_X)$. We say that two simplicial toric varieties X and Y have the "same simplicial structure" if $\mathrm{S}(\Delta_X)$ and $\mathrm{S}(\Delta_Y)$ are isomorphic as simplicial complexes.*

Now that we have established our basic definitions, the next few sections will be dedicated to proving and applying the following theorem, which is our main technical result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X and Y be two complete simplicial toric varieties over k with the same simplicial structure; i.e. $\varphi : \mathrm{S}(\Delta_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{S}(\Delta_Y)$ is an isomorphism of simplicial complexes, where Δ_X is the fan for X and Δ_Y is the fan for Y. Let Δ_X live in the lattice N^X and Δ_Y live in the lattice N^Y. Suppose we have a lattice morphism $F : N^X \longrightarrow N^Y$ which is injective with finite cokernel such that the restriction maps $F|_{N^X_\sigma} : N^X_\sigma \longrightarrow N^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ are also injective with finite cokernel for any cone $\sigma \in \Delta_X$. Suppose further that the characteristic of k does not divide $|\operatorname{coker}(F)|$. Then $\mathrm{KH}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{KH}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are weakly equivalent as spectra; in particular, $\mathrm{KH}_n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathrm{KH}_n(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for all n.*

There are several stages that go into the proof of Theorem 3.3. We begin by focusing on a single complete simplicial toric variety and, in the spirit of Proposition 3.1, seek to relate its KH theory to the KH theory of certain torus pieces that are related to X. Then, once such a relationship is established, we look to use the lattice conditions to build a map between these tori that let us (after considering the above relationship with the complete simplicial toric variety X) derive the relationship between the KH theories of X and Y. We begin this construction in Section 4.

4. The Construction of the Simplicial Scheme BOT_X

As it turns out, simply knowing that X and Y have the same simplicial structure is not enough to determine that they have the same KH-theory. However, since KH satisfies Zariski descent, we can approach this problem from the perspective of simplicial schemes. This is our next goal.

Construction 4.1. *Let X be a complete simplicial toric variety. Then X gives rise to a simplicial scheme, which we call $\mathcal{U}_X$. To construct the simplicial scheme structure, we simply take the Zariski cover given by open sets associated to maximal cones in the fan of X, and then we take the Čech* *nerve of that cover. On the level of lattices, the face and degeneracy maps are easy to describe. As in the statement of Theorem 3.3, let* N^X *denote the lattice in which the fan* Δ_X *lives. For the face maps, letting* $\tau = \sigma_0 \cap \cdots \cap \sigma_n$ *and letting* $\tau_j = \sigma_0 \cap \cdots \cap \widehat{\sigma_j} \cap \cdots \cap \sigma_n$*, this map becomes* $d_j : U_\tau \longrightarrow U_{\tau_j}$ *by inclusion. Notice that, since* $\tau \prec \tau_j$*, this face map can be obtained by taking* $N^X \longrightarrow N^X$ *to be the identity and taking* $\tau \longrightarrow \tau_j$ *by inclusion. For the degeneracy maps, if we again let* $\tau = \sigma_0 \cap \cdots \cap \sigma_n$*, then* $s_j : U_\tau \longrightarrow U_\tau$ *by the identity, which is obtained by taking* $N^X \longrightarrow N^X$ *to be the identity and taking* $\tau \longrightarrow \tau$ *to be the identity as well. Note that we can see very easily that the maps* d_j *and* s_j *above satisfy the usual simplicial relations. For the remainder of this paper, when we say "simplicial scheme associated to* X*", we are referring to the simplicial scheme* $\mathcal{U}_X$*.*

Our goal is to relate the KH theory of a complete simplicial toric variety to the KH theory of its torus pieces, extending the intuition of Proposition 3.1. Fortunately, our work has provided us a way to do this. Indeed, notice that the definition of the simplicial scheme structure associated to a complete simplicial toric variety is given completely in terms of open sets associated to cones; since open sets associated to cones have algebraic tori as direct factors, it will allow us to relate these two concepts. We begin with some new definitions.

Definition 4.2. *Let* X *be a complete simplicial toric variety with associated simplicial scheme* $\mathcal{U}_X$ *as shown in Construction 4.1. Again, let* N^X *denote the lattice in which the fan* Δ_X *lives, and let* $\widetilde{N}^X_\tau = N^X / N^X_{\widetilde{\tau}}$ *as defined at the top of page 4. We define two lattice maps, which we call* $\widetilde{d_j}$ *and* $\widetilde{s_j}$*, by the following construction. Let* $\tau = \sigma_0 \cap \cdots \cap \sigma_n$ *and* $\tau_j = \sigma_0 \cap \cdots \cap \widehat{\sigma_j} \cap \cdots \cap \sigma_n$*. Then construct* $\widetilde{d_j} : \widetilde{N}^X_\tau \longrightarrow \widetilde{N}^X_{\tau_j}$ *by first lifting* $\widetilde{N}^X_\tau$ *up to* N^X*, then mapping* N^X *to itself via the identity (with* $\tau \longrightarrow \tau_j$ *via inclusion), and finally taking canonical surjection onto* $\widetilde{N}^X_{\tau_j}$*. Similarly, construct* $\widetilde{s_j} : \widetilde{N}^X_\tau \longrightarrow \widetilde{N}^X_\tau$ *by first lifting* $\widetilde{N}^X_\tau$ *up to* N^X*, then mapping* N^X *to itself via the identity (with* $\tau \longrightarrow \tau$ *via the identity), and finally taking canonical surjection onto* $\widetilde{N}^X_\tau$*. Observe that, with this construction,* $\widetilde{s_j}$ *is just the identity map.*

Definition 4.3. *Let* X *be a complete simplicial toric variety with associated simplicial scheme* $\mathcal{U}_X$ *as shown in Construction 4.1. We define a new simplicial scheme, which we call* BOT_X*, by the following properties:*

1. *We define* $(\mathrm{BOT}_X)_n = \coprod T_{\alpha(\sigma_0,\cdots,\sigma_n)}$*, where* $T_{\alpha(\sigma_0,\cdots,\sigma_n)}$ *is the associated torus piece for the open set* $U_{\sigma_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{\sigma_n}$ *(in the sense of Definition 2.2) and* $\alpha(\sigma_0, \cdots, \sigma_n)$ *is its rank. As before, the* σ_i*'s are all maximal cones.*
2. *We define the face and degeneracy maps, denoted* $d_j^{\mathrm{BOT}_X}$ *and* $s_j^{\mathrm{BOT}_X}$ *respectively, to component-wise be the morphisms of toric varieties that are induced by the lattice maps* $\widetilde{d_j}$ *and* $\widetilde{s_j}$ *of Definition 4.2.*

Theorem 4.4. BOT_X*, as defined in Definition 4.3, is a simplicial scheme.*

Proof. Definition 4.3 has already given us our objects $(\mathrm{BOT}_X)_n$ and our face and degeneracy maps, and it is an easy exercise to check that $d_j^{\mathrm{BOT}_X}$ and $s_j^{\mathrm{BOT}_X}$ are well-defined and satisfy the usual simplicial identities.

□

Now that we have shown BOT_X to be a simplicial scheme, we want to relate BOT_X to the simplicial scheme $\mathcal{U}_X$. Let $q_n^{\mathcal{U}_X}$ be the morphism of schemes obtained by projecting each component of $(\mathcal{U}_X)_n$ onto its torus piece. By it's very definition, this gives us a morphism $q_n^{\mathcal{U}_X} : (\mathcal{U}_X)_n \longrightarrow (\mathrm{BOT}_X)_n$ and we have:

Theorem 4.5. *The morphism $q^{\mathcal{U}_X} : \mathcal{U}_X \longrightarrow \mathrm{BOT}_X$ given in degree $n \geq 0$ by $q_n^{\mathcal{U}_X} : (\mathcal{U}_X)_n \longrightarrow (\mathrm{BOT}_X)_n$ is a morphism of simplicial schemes.*

Proof. This is straightforward by the construction of the maps $d_j^{\mathrm{BOT}_X}$ and $s_j^{\mathrm{BOT}_X}$.

□

Theorem 4.6. *The morphisms $\mathrm{KH}(q^{\mathcal{U}_X}) : \mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_X)$ and $\mathrm{KH}(q^{\mathcal{U}_X}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of cosimplicial spectra induced by the map $q^{\mathcal{U}_X}$ of Theorem 4.5 are weak equivalences.*

Proof. This follows by applying Proposition 3.1 componentwise.

□

Corollary 4.7. *The morphisms $\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_X))$ and $\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_X) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ are weak equivalences of* Spectra*.*

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 4.6 and the construction of the holim functor given in [BK]. For our purposes, $\mathrm{holim}(-) = \mathrm{Tot}(\Pi^*(R(-)))$, where R denotes fibrant replacement, Π^* denotes cosimplicial replacement, and Tot is the total object functor.

□

This allows us to conclude the following important consequence for BOT_X.

Theorem 4.8. *Let X be a complete simplicial toric variety. Then the two spectra $\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X))$ and $\mathrm{KH}(X)$ are weakly equivalent.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.7 and the fact that KH satisfies Zariski descent, we get a zig-zag

$$\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_X)) \longleftarrow \mathrm{KH}(X) \tag{4.1}$$

where each map is a weak equivalence; thus the spectra $\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X))$ and $\mathrm{KH}(X)$ are weakly equivalent as claimed.

□

Theorem 4.8 completes our generalization of the intuition we presented at the end of Section 3. Since $\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X))$ and $\mathrm{KH}(X)$ are weakly equivalent, the nth stable homotopy group of $\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X))$ is isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{KH}_n(X)$, showing that $\mathrm{KH}_n(X)$ is indeed determined only by the torus pieces of open sets associated to maximal cones covering X as claimed.

5. The Relationship Between BOT_X and BOT_Y

With the work of Sections 3 and 4, we are now ready to prove Theorem 3.3. Section 4 focused on the construction of BOT_X for a given complete simplicial toric variety X. Similarly, we could construct BOT_Y for a different complete simplicial toric variety Y. The question is: when are these two simplicial schemes related? In general this is not known; however, if we impose the conditions that X and Y have the same simplicial structure and that we have a lattice morphism $F : N^X \longrightarrow N^Y$ which is injective with finite cokernel such that the restriction maps $F|_{N^X_\sigma} : N^X_\sigma \longrightarrow N^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ are also injective with finite cokernel for any cone $\sigma \in \Delta_X$, then we get a very useful relationship.

Lemma 5.1. *Let X and Y be two complete simplicial toric varieties with the same simplicial structure, and suppose we have a lattice morphism $F : N^X \longrightarrow N^Y$ which is injective with finite cokernel such that the restriction maps $F|_{N^X_\sigma} : N^X_\sigma \longrightarrow N^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ are also injective with finite cokernel for any cone $\sigma \in \Delta_X$. Then the induced map $\widetilde{F}_\sigma : \widetilde{N}^X_\sigma \longrightarrow \widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ is also injective with finite cokernel. Furthermore, we have that $|\operatorname{coker}(\widetilde{F}_\sigma)|$ divides $|\operatorname{coker}(F)|$ for any cone σ.*

Proof. Since both $\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma$ and $\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ are themselves lattices we have the following diagram of lattices

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & N^X_\sigma \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "F|_{N^X_\sigma}"] & N^X \arrow[r, "\pi_{N^X_\sigma}"] \arrow[d, "F"] & \widetilde{N}^X_\sigma \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}_\sigma"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & N^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)} \arrow[r, hook] & N^Y \arrow[r, "\pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}}"'] & \widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the rows are short exact sequences. By the Snake Lemma, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker\left(\widetilde{F}_\sigma\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(F|_{N^X_\sigma}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(\widetilde{F}_\sigma\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

where we get exactness on the right because the bottom row of our diagram of lattices is short exact. Now we recall that $\operatorname{coker}\left(F|_{N^X_\sigma}\right)$ is assumed to be finite; therefore, as the above exact sequence forces $\ker\left(\widetilde{F}_\sigma\right)$ to inject into $\operatorname{coker}\left(F|_{N^X_\sigma}\right)$, we conclude that $\ker\left(\widetilde{F}_\sigma\right)$ is finite as well, and therefore 0 since it is free abelian. Therefore, the map $\widetilde{F}_\sigma$ is injective. From here, it is immediate that $\widetilde{F}_\sigma$ has finite cokernel, and that $|\operatorname{coker}(\widetilde{F}_\sigma)|$ divides $|\operatorname{coker}(F)|$ for any cone σ.

□

The importance of Lemma 5.1 is that $\widetilde{F}_\sigma : \widetilde{N}^X_\sigma \longrightarrow \widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ gives rise to a morphism between the torus pieces of U^X_σ and $U^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$, which will in turn allow us to construct a morphism $\mathrm{BOT}_X \longrightarrow \mathrm{BOT}_Y$ of simplicial schemes.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X and Y be two complete simplicial toric varieties with the same simplicial structure, and suppose we have a lattice morphism $F : N^X \longrightarrow N^Y$ which is injective with finite cokernel such that the restriction maps $F|_{N^X_\sigma} : N^X_\sigma \longrightarrow N^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ are also injective with finite* *cokernel for any cone* $\sigma \in \Delta_X$*. Then* F *induces a morphism of simplicial schemes* $\mathrm{BOT}_X \longrightarrow \mathrm{BOT}_Y$*. Furthermore, for every* n*, the morphism* $(\mathrm{BOT}_X)_n \longrightarrow (\mathrm{BOT}_Y)_n$ *is, in each component, an isogeny.*

Proof. As we've already seen, $\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma$ and $\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ determine the torus pieces of U^X_σ and $U^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$, and so $\widetilde{F}_\sigma : \widetilde{N}^X_\sigma \longrightarrow \widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ determines a morphism between the tori T^X_σ and $T^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$. Since $\widetilde{F}_\sigma$ is injective with finite cokernel (Lemma 5.1), the induced map on the corresponding tori is an isogeny; therefore, the morphism $(\mathrm{BOT}_X)_n \longrightarrow (\mathrm{BOT}_Y)_n$ is, in each component, an isogeny.

So we need only show that the maps $(\mathrm{BOT}_X)_n \longrightarrow (\mathrm{BOT}_Y)_n$ commute with the face and degeneracy maps. Recall from Definitions 4.2 and 4.3 that the face and degeneracy maps for BOT_X are constructed by considering maps on the appropriate lattices. Recall that we called these maps $\widetilde{d}_j$ and $\widetilde{s}_j$; here, to differentiate between the case when they're constructed from N^X or N^Y, we denote these maps by $\widetilde{d}_j^{N^X}$ and $\widetilde{s}_j^{N^X}$ for the complete simplicial toric variety X and by $\widetilde{d}_j^{N^Y}$ and $\widetilde{s}_j^{N^Y}$ for the complete simplicial toric variety Y. Then, in the face map case, we get the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
N^X \arrow[rrr, bend left, "\mathrm{id}_{N^X}"] \arrow[ddd, bend right, "F"'] & & & N^X \arrow[dl, bend right, "\pi_{N^X_{\tau_j}}"'] \arrow[ddd, bend left, "F"] \\
& \widetilde{N}^X_\tau \arrow[ul, "\alpha"'] \arrow[r, "\widetilde{d}_j^{N^X}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}_\tau"'] & \widetilde{N}^X_{\tau_j} \arrow[ur, bend right, "\alpha"'] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{F}_{\tau_j}"] & \\
& \widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\tau)} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{d}_j^{N^Y}"] \arrow[dl, bend left, "\alpha"] & \widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\tau_j)} & \\
N^Y \arrow[ur, bend left, "\pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau)}}"] \arrow[rrr, bend right, "\mathrm{id}_{N^Y}"'] & & & N^Y \arrow[ul, "\pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau_j)}}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here F is injective by assumption, while $\widetilde{F}_\tau$ and $\widetilde{F}_{\tau_j}$ are injective by Lemma 5.1. Observe that, obviously, the outer square commutes. We want to show that the inner square commutes; then after applying all the necessary functors, we get that these induced maps on the tori commute with the face maps of BOT_X component-wise, and therefore commute overall.

Notice that, for an element $[x] \in \widetilde{N}^X_\tau$, $\widetilde{F}_\tau([x]) = \pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau)}} \circ F(x)$. In other words, we lift $[x]$ back to N^X, apply F, and then apply $\pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau)}}$. As $\widetilde{F}_\tau$ is independent of our choice of lift, we can just take the lift α sending $[x]$ to x. We will abuse notation and use α to denote all such lifts of this type. This means that we can write $\widetilde{F}_\tau = \pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau)}} \circ F \circ \alpha$ and $\widetilde{F}_{\tau_j} = \pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau_j)}} \circ F \circ \alpha$. Similarly, we can write $\widetilde{d}_j^{N^X} = \pi_{N^X_{\tau_j}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{N^X} \circ \alpha$ and $\widetilde{d}_j^{N^Y} = \pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau_j)}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{N^Y} \circ \alpha$.

With this, we now simply compute the composition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{d}_j^{N^Y} \circ \widetilde{F}_\tau &= \pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau_j)}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{N^Y} \circ \alpha \circ \pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau)}} \circ F \circ \alpha \\
\widetilde{d}_j^{N^Y} \circ \widetilde{F}_\tau &= \pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau_j)}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{N^Y} \circ F \circ \alpha \\
\widetilde{d}_j^{N^Y} \circ \widetilde{F}_\tau &= \pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau_j)}} \circ F \circ \mathrm{id}_{N^X} \circ \alpha \\
\widetilde{d}_j^{N^Y} \circ \widetilde{F}_\tau &= \pi_{N^Y_{\varphi(\tau_j)}} \circ F \circ \alpha \circ \pi_{N^X_{\tau_j}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{N^X} \circ \alpha \\
\widetilde{d}_j^{N^Y} \circ \widetilde{F}_\tau &= F|_{\widetilde{N}_j^X} \circ d_j^{N^X}. \qquad (5.1)
\end{aligned}$$

So these maps commute with face maps. To see that they commute with degeneracy maps is even easier. This time, recalling from Definition 4.2 that $\widetilde{s}_j^{N^X}$ and $\widetilde{s}_j^{N^Y}$ turn out to be the respective identity maps, the commutativity is obvious. Thus, we have a morphism of simplicial schemes as desired.

□

Remark 5.3. Notice that the kernel of the morphism $T^X_\sigma \longrightarrow T^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ of Theorem 5.2 is the group scheme Spec $\left(k[\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}/\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma]\right)$, which we denote by $\mu_\sigma(k)$. We will see later that, after writing $\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}/\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma$ in invariant factor form, if k contains all ${m_i}^{\text{th}}$ roots of unity (where $m_1, ..., m_n$ are the invariant factors of $\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}/\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma$) then $|\mu_\sigma(k)| = m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdots m_n$. This will allow us to conclude that $|\mu_\sigma(k)| = |\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}/\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma|$. In light of Lemma 5.1, once established we can conclude that the order of every kernel arising from an isogeny in Theorem 5.2 divides $|\operatorname{coker}(F)|$, where $F : N^X \longrightarrow N^Y$ is our lattice map from Theorem 5.2.

Corollary 5.4. *The morphism of Theorem 5.2 induces a morphism of cosimplicial spectra* $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X)$ *which is, component-wise in each degree, given by induced morphisms* f^* *where* f *is an isogeny of tori. Similarly, the morphism of Theorem 5.2 induces a morphism of cosimplicial spectra* $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ *which is, component-wise in each degree, given by induced morphisms* $(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

In addition to being isogenies, the component maps $T^X_\sigma \longrightarrow T^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ from Theorem 5.2 have other very useful properties. The first such property is that they can be diagonalized.

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that* $f : T_1 \longrightarrow T_2$ *is an isogeny between two split algebraic tori* T_1 *and* T_2. *Then* f *can be diagonalized; more specifically, we can choose coordinates* $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ *of* T_1 *and* $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$ *of* T_2 *such that* $y_i = x_i^{m_i}$ *and the morphism* f *is just the powering map*

$$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \mapsto (x_1^{m_1}, x_2^{m_2}, ..., x_n^{m_n}). \qquad (5.2)$$

Proof. This is an immediate reformulation of the invariant factor form for finitely generated abelian groups.

□

Corollary 5.6. *If* k *contains all* ${m_i}^{th}$ *roots of unity, for* $i = 1, ..., n$, *then the group* μ_σ *of Remark 5.3 satisfies* $|\mu_\sigma(k)| = |\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}/\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma|$ *as claimed.*

As we just saw in Corollary 5.6, if $f : T_1 \longrightarrow T_2$ is an isogeny, Proposition 5.5 gives us a very nice way to view both the map and its kernel. Indeed, letting $\ker f = \mu(k)$, we see that in these bases $\mu(k) = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) | x_i^{m_i} = 1\}$ for all i. The result of Corollary 5.6 leads us to want our underlying field k to have all of the ${m_i}^{\text{th}}$ roots of unity, which a priori need not be true. However, using a standard Transfer Argument, we can reduce to this case. For convenience, we again use the notation of Proposition 5.5.

Proposition 5.7. *Suppose that $f : T_1 \longrightarrow T_2$ is an isogeny of tori induced by a morphism of lattices as in Theorem 5.2, and suppose further that the characteristic of k does not divide $|\mu(k)|$, where $\mu(k) = \ker f$. Letting $k(\mu(k))$ denote the field extension of k given by adjoining all the ${m_i}^{th}$ roots of unity, and letting $(T_j)_{k(\mu(k))}$ (for $j = 1, 2$) denote the base extension of T_j to the field $k(\mu(k))$, we have that if $((f_{k(\mu(k))})^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism for every n, then $(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism for every n as well.*

Proof. Consider the rings $A = k[t_1, t_1^{-1}, ..., t_n, t_n^{-1}]$, $B = A \otimes_k k(\mu(k))$, $C = k[s_1, s_1^{-1}, ..., s_n, s_n^{-1}]$, and $D = C \otimes_k k(\mu(k))$. Then we have the following commutative square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "i_1"'] & C \arrow[d, "i_2"] \\
B \arrow[r, "f_{k(\mu_\sigma(k))}"'] & D
\end{tikzcd}$$

where f is given by mapping $t_i \mapsto s_i^{m_i}$ and the two vertical maps i_1 and i_2 are given by base extension. It is easy to see that both morphisms i_1 and i_2 are injective, flat and finite. By the projection formula, both $((i_1)_*)_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ ((i_1)^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $((i_2)_*)_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ ((i_2)^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are isomorphisms for all n. This gives us the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{K}_n(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \arrow[r, "((i_1)^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}"] \arrow[d, "(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\cong"] & \mathrm{K}_n(B) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \arrow[r, "((i_1)_*)_{\mathbb{Q}}"] \arrow[d, "(f^*_{k(\mu(k))})_{\mathbb{Q}}"'] & \mathrm{K}_n(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \arrow[d, "(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}"'] \\
\mathrm{K}_n(C) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \arrow[r, "((i_2)^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}"'] \arrow[rr, bend right, "\cong"'] & \mathrm{K}_n(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \arrow[r, "((i_2)_*)_{\mathbb{Q}}"'] & \mathrm{K}_n(C) \otimes \mathbb{Q}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus, if $((f_{k(\mu(k))})^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism for every n, then $(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a retract of an isomorphism for every n, and is therefore an isomorphism as well. This completes the proof.

□

So by Proposition 5.7, we may assume that our field k contains all ${m_i}^{\text{th}}$ roots of unity, where the numbers $m_1, ..., m_n$ are the components of f determined in Proposition 5.5.

Viewing the morphisms of Theorem 5.2 using Proposition 5.5, we can show that the morphism $T_\sigma^X \longrightarrow T_{\varphi(\sigma)}^Y$ is actually even étale. This will be our next result.

Proposition 5.8. *If the characteristic of k does not divide $|\mu_\sigma(k)|$, then the morphism $T^X_\sigma \longrightarrow T^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ is étale.*

Proof. It is an easy exercise to see that $\mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ given by $x \mapsto x^n$ is étale, and products of étale morphisms are étale.

□

Corollary 5.9. *If the characteristic of k does not divide $|\operatorname{coker}(F)|$, then the morphism $T^X_\sigma \longrightarrow T^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ is étale for every cone $\sigma \in \Delta_X$.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.6, $|\mu_\sigma(k)|$ divides $|\operatorname{coker}(F)|$ for every cone σ, so this is immediate from Proposition 5.8.

□

6. The Proof of Theorem 3.3

We are now ready to begin the next stage of our argument. We know that $\mathrm{BOT}_X \longrightarrow \mathrm{BOT}_Y$ is in each degree componentwise given by isogenies induced by ring homomorphisms of the form $k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}, \mathbb{Z})] \longrightarrow k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})]$. Examining these ring homomorphisms is our next goal. In light of Proposition 5.7, we assume throughout this section that k contains sufficiently many roots of unity.

Lemma 6.1. *The ring $k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})]$ is Galois over $k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}, \mathbb{Z})]$, with Galois group $\mu_\sigma(k) = \operatorname{Spec}\left(k[\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}/\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma]\right)$ the kernel of the associated morphism on schemes.*

Proof. This is immediate from the fact that the map induced by applying Spec is a group homomorphism with kernel $\mu_\sigma(k)$.

□

Corollary 6.2. *Given the map $f : k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}, \mathbb{Z})] \longrightarrow k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})]$ of Lemma 6.1, $f_* \circ f^*$ is multiplication by $|\mu_\sigma(k)|$.*

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 6.1 and the projection formula.

□

Theorem 6.3. *The maps $f : k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}, \mathbb{Z})] \longrightarrow k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})]$ arising in each component of $(\mathrm{BOT}_X)_n \longrightarrow (\mathrm{BOT}_Y)_n$ as constructed in Theorem 5.2 all have the property that*

$$(f_*)_\mathbb{Q} \circ (f^*)_\mathbb{Q} : \mathrm{K}_n(k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}, \mathbb{Z})]) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}_n(k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}, \mathbb{Z})]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is an isomorphism for all n, and

$$(f^*)_\mathbb{Q} : \mathrm{K}_n(k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}, \mathbb{Z})]) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}_n(k[\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma, \mathbb{Z})]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is injective for all n.

Proof. This is an immediate application of Corollary 6.2.

□

Caution 6.4. The reader might be tempted at this point to, following the logic of Corollary 5.4, attempt to construct a morphism of cosimplicial spectra $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_Y)$ which is, in each degree, componentwise given by transfer maps f_*. Unfortunately, this is not possible. The reason is that the transfer maps do not commute with the coface maps of $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X)$ and $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_Y)$, and thus cannot give rise to a morphism of cosimplicial spectra.

Even though the transfer maps do not directly give rise to a morphism of cosimplicial spectra, we can still use them to gain information about f^* and, more importantly, $(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Specifically, we can use them to show that if f is an isogeny between two algebraic tori, then $(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism for every n. This will be our next goal.

To accomplish this goal, given any isogeny $f : T_1 \longrightarrow T_2$ with kernel $\mu(k)$, we need to see how the group $\mu(k)$ acts on the group $\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Since k contains all $m_i{}^{\mathrm{th}}$ roots of unity, then any $g \in \mu(k)$ is of the form $g = (\zeta_{m_1}^{\alpha_1}, \zeta_{m_2}^{\alpha_2}, ..., \zeta_{m_n}^{\alpha_n})$ where ζ_{m_j} is a primitive m_jth root of unity. Then g defines a morphism $T_1 \longrightarrow T_1$ given by $g(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = (\zeta_{m_1}^{\alpha_1} x_1, \zeta_{m_2}^{\alpha_2} x_2, ..., \zeta_{m_n}^{\alpha_n} x_n)$. This corresponds to the morphism of rings $g : k[s_1, s_1^{-1}, ..., s_n, s_n^{-1}] \longrightarrow k[s_1, s_1^{-1}, ..., s_n, s_n^{-1}]$ given by $g(x) = x$ for $x \in k$ and $g(s_i) = \zeta_{m_i}^{\alpha_i} s_i$ for each i (since $\mu(k)$ is the Galois group of $k[s_1, s_1^{-1}, ..., s_n, s_n^{-1}]$ over $k[s_1^{m_1}, s_1^{-m_1}, ..., s_n^{m_n}, s_n^{-m_n}]$, then in view of Lemma 6.1, this should not be surprising). One can again easily see that these are equivalent by taking $\mathrm{Hom}(-, k)$ everywhere. This gives rise to a group action of $\mu(k)$ on $\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$; if $g \in \mu(k)$ and $x \in \mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, then define $g \cdot x = (g^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$, where g^* is the map induced by taking K-theory, and where $(g^*)_{\mathbb{Q}} = g^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $f : T_1 \longrightarrow T_2$ be an isogeny with kernel $\mu(k)$. Then $\mathrm{im}((f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = [\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}]^{\mu(k)}$, the points of $\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ fixed by the action of $\mu(k)$, for every n.*

Proof. To see that $\mathrm{im}((f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset [\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}]^{\mu(k)}$, observe that for any $g \in \mu(k)$, we have that $f = f \circ g$. For the other containment, we need to use a trace argument. Suppose that V is any $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space, and G is any finite group. We can define an operator $t : V \longrightarrow V$, where for $v \in V$ we have $t(v) = \sum_{g \in G} (g \cdot v)$. Then $V^G = t(V)$.

Letting $V = \mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and letting $G = \mu(k)$ above, we see that $[\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}]^{\mu(k)} = t(\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$. By [Tho, Lemma 2.13], we have that $(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ (f_*)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \sum_{g \in \mu(k)} (g^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Realizing that $g \cdot v = (g^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}(v)$ under this action, we have that $(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ (f_*)_{\mathbb{Q}} = t$, where t is the trace operator from above, and that

$$(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ (f_*)_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = t(\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = [\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}]^{\mu(k)} \tag{6.1}$$

which gives the other inclusion.

□

By Theorems 6.3 and 6.5, we can show that $(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism if we can show that $[\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}]^{\mu(k)} = \mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. To that end, we need to better understand the action of $\mu(k)$ on $\mathrm{K}_n(T_1)$ explicitly. Since $T_1 = \mathrm{Spec}\left(k[t_1, t_1^{-1}, ..., t_s, t_s^{-1}]\right)$ we can write $\mathrm{K}_n(T_1) = \mathrm{K}_n\left(k[t_1, t_1^{-1}, ..., t_s, t_s^{-1}]\right)$. Here we can apply the Bass Fundamental Theorem inductively to see that

$$\mathrm{K}_n\left(k[t_1, t_1^{-1}, ..., t_s, t_s^{-1}]\right) = \bigoplus_{r \leq s} \{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, ..., t_{j_r}\} \cdot \mathrm{K}_{n-r}(k) \tag{6.2}$$

where $\{t_a, t_b\}$ denotes the cup product of $[t_a]$ and $[t_b]$ (viewed as elements of $\mathrm{K}_1\left(k[t_1, t_1^{-1}, ..., t_s, t_s^{-1}]\right)$ as usual).

Given any $g \in \mu(k)$, recall that $g = (\zeta_{m_1}^{\alpha_1}, \zeta_{m_2}^{\alpha_2}, ..., \zeta_{m_n}^{\alpha_n})$ where ζ_{m_j} is a primitive m_jth root of unity. This g induces a map $k[t_1, t_1^{-1}, ..., t_s, t_s^{-1}] \longrightarrow k[t_1, t_1^{-1}, ..., t_s, t_s^{-1}]$ given by mapping $t_i \mapsto \zeta_{m_i}^{\alpha_i} t_i$. This means that the element $t_i \in \mathrm{K}_1\left(k[t_1, t_1^{-1}, ..., t_s, t_s^{-1}]\right)$ is mapped to the element $\zeta_{m_i}^{\alpha_i} t_i \in \mathrm{K}_1\left(k[t_1, t_1^{-1}, ..., t_s, t_s^{-1}]\right)$. Therefore, we see that g acts on

$$\mathrm{K}_n\left(k[t_1, t_1^{-1}, ..., t_s, t_s^{-1}]\right) = \bigoplus_{r \leq s} \{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, ..., t_{j_r}\} \cdot \mathrm{K}_{n-r}(k) \tag{6.3}$$

by multiplying t_{j_i} by $\zeta_{m_{j_i}}^{\alpha_{j_i}}$, and by leaving any term from $\mathrm{K}_{n-r}(k)$ unchanged.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $x \in \mathrm{K}_n(T_1)$. Then $\zeta_{m_i}^{\alpha_i} \cdot (m_i \cdot x) = m_i \cdot x$.*

Proof. Write x as a tuple $(x_{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r})$, where $x_{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r} = \{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, ..., t_{j_r}\} \cdot y$ for some $y \in \mathrm{K}_{n-r}(k)$. If $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is any integer, then by the bilinearity of the cup product, the $\mathbb{Z}$-action on $\mathrm{K}_n(T_1)$ is given by

$$m \cdot (x_{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r}) = \{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, ..., t_{j_i}^m, ..., t_{j_r}\} \cdot y; \tag{6.4}$$

in other words, m acts on only one of the factors but we may choose which factor it acts on.

Letting $g = (1, ..., 1, \zeta_{m_i}, 1, ..., 1) \in \mu(k)$, we realize this acts by applying the map g^* as always. Since g^* is a homomorphism of abelian groups, we must have that $g \cdot (m_i \cdot x) = m_i \cdot (g \cdot x)$. We show the latter of these is just $m_i \cdot x$. We do this componentwise. For every component of x, we have

$$m_i \cdot (x_{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r}) = \{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, ..., t_{j_i}^{m_i}, ..., t_{j_r}\} \cdot y \tag{6.5}$$

from the above $\mathbb{Z}$-action. On the other hand, applying g to x, we see that g acts trivially on any component where $i \notin \{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r\}$ and so obviously on those components $g \cdot (m_i \cdot x) = m_i \cdot x$. If $i \in \{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r\}$, then

$$g \cdot (x_{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r}) = \{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, ..., \zeta_{m_i} t_i, ..., t_{j_r}\} \cdot y \tag{6.6}$$

and if we apply m_i to this component we get

$$\begin{aligned} m_i \cdot (g \cdot (x_{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r})) &= m_i \cdot \left[\{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, ..., \zeta_{m_i}^{\alpha_i} t_i, ..., t_{j_r}\} \cdot y\right] \\ m_i \cdot (g \cdot (x_{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r})) &= \{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, ..., (\zeta_{m_i}^{\alpha_i} t_i)^{m_i}, ..., t_{j_r}\} \cdot y \\ m_i \cdot (g \cdot (x_{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r})) &= \{t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, ..., t_i^{m_i}, ..., t_{j_r}\} \cdot y \\ m_i \cdot (g \cdot (x_{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r})) &= m_i \cdot (x_{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r}) \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

as desired. Applying the above g α_i times yields the result for $\zeta_{m_i}^{\alpha_i}$.

□

Corollary 6.7. *Let $x \in \mathrm{K}_n(T_1)$. Then for any $g \in \mu(k)$, $g \cdot (m \cdot x) = m \cdot x$, where $m = m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdots m_s$.*

Theorem 6.8. *Let $f : T_1 \longrightarrow T_2$ be an isogeny with kernel $\mu(k)$. Then we have that*

$$(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathrm{K}_n(T_2) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{K}_n(T_1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is an isomorphism for every n.

Proof. By Theorem 6.5, we need only show that $[\mathrm{K}_n(T_1)\otimes\mathbb{Q}]^{\mu(k)} = \mathrm{K}_n(T_1)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$. Let $x \in \mathrm{K}_n(T_1)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$. Writing $x = y\otimes\frac{p}{q}$ and letting $m = m_1\cdot m_2\cdots m_s$ as in Corollary 6.7, and realizing that the action of $\mu(k)$ on $\mathrm{K}_n(T_1)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ only occurs in the $\mathrm{K}_n(T_1)$ coordinate, we see that $x = my\otimes\frac{p}{mq}$ is invariant under the action of $\mu(k)$ by Corollary 6.7. Thus, we see that x is invariant under the action of $\mu(k)$, completing the proof.

□

With our above work, we are now ready to prove Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Suppose we have a lattice morphism $F : N^X \longrightarrow N^Y$ which is injective with finite cokernel such that the restriction maps $F|_{N^X_\sigma} : N^X_\sigma \longrightarrow N^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)}$ are also injective with finite cokernel for any cone $\sigma \in \Delta_X$. We know from Theorem 5.2 that F induces a morphism $\mathrm{BOT}_X \longrightarrow \mathrm{BOT}_Y$ which is component-wise in each degree an isogeny. By Theorem 6.8, the induced map

$$(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathrm{K}_n(k[\mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^Y_{\varphi(\sigma)},\mathbb{Z})])\otimes\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}_n(k[\mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{N}^X_\sigma,\mathbb{Z})])\otimes\mathbb{Q}$$

on each such component is an isomorphism for every n.

By Corollary 5.4, the induced morphism of cosimplicial spectra $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ is in each degree component-wise given by morphisms of the form $(f^*)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. As a consequence, the morphism $\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ is a weak equivalence of cosimplicial spectra, and the morphism $\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X)\otimes\mathbb{Q})$ is a weak equivalence of spectra. This gives us the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\sim"'] & \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}) \arrow[d, "\sim"] \\
\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q}) & \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}) \\
\mathrm{KH}(Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q} \arrow[u, "\sim"] & \mathrm{KH}(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q} \arrow[u, "\sim"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the morphisms $\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathrm{BOT}_X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_X)\otimes\mathbb{Q})$ are weak equivalences by Corollary 4.7, and the morphisms $\mathrm{KH}(Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{KH}(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{KH}(\mathcal{U}_X)\otimes\mathbb{Q})$ are weak equivalences by the fact that $\mathrm{KH}(-)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ satisfies Zariski descent. So the spectra $\mathrm{KH}(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathrm{KH}(Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ are weakly equivalent, establishing $\mathrm{KH}_n(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q} \cong \mathrm{KH}_n(Y)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ for all n. This completes the proof.

□

7. Applications of Theorem 3.3

With Theorem 3.3 proven, we can now apply it to calculate $\mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}(q_0,\dots,q_d))\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ for any n. To accomplish this, we first prove the following important lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *Any weighted projective space* $\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)$ *has the same simplicial structure, in the sense of Definition 3.2, as ordinary projective space* $\mathbb{P}^d$.

Proof. Recall from Section 2 that both $\mathbb{P}^d$ and $\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)$ are complete toric varieties. Let $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^d}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}(q_0,...,q_d)}$ be as constructed in Section 2; to fix notation, let $\{e_0, ..., e_d\}$ and $\{f_0, ..., f_d\}$ be two bases of $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$, let $x_i = \overline{e_i}$ in the quotient $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}/\langle e_0 + e_1 + \cdots + e_d\rangle$ and $y_i = \overline{f_i}$ in the quotient $\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}/\langle q_0 f_0 + q_1 f_1 + \cdots + q_d f_d\rangle$, and let $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^d}$ consist of all the cones generated by proper subsets of $\{x_0, x_1, ..., x_d\}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}(q_0,...,q_d)}$ consist of all the cones generated by proper subsets of $\{y_0, y_1, ..., y_d\}$. Define a map $\varphi : \mathrm{S}(\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^d}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{S}(\Delta_{\mathbb{P}(q_0,...,q_d)})$ given by mapping any cone $\langle x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_r}\rangle$ in $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}^d}$ to the cone $\langle y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_r}\rangle$ in $\Delta_{\mathbb{P}(q_0,...,q_d)}$. This map is clearly an isomorphism of simplicial complexes in the sense of Definition 3.2; therefore, weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)$ has the same simplicial structure as ordinary projective space $\mathbb{P}^d$.

□

We are now ready to calculate the groups $\mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, by comparing them to $\mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}^d) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 7.2. *If* $\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)$ *is any d-dimensional weighted projective space defined over a field k such that the characteristic of k does not divide the product* $q_0 \cdot q_1 \cdots q_d$, *then* $\mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}^d) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ *for all n, establishing part (a) of Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. Let $N^{\mathbb{P}^d}$ and $N^{\mathbb{P}(q_0,...,q_d)}$ be as in the proof of Lemma 7.1. By Lemma 7.1, $\mathbb{P}^d$ and $\mathbb{P}(q_0, ..., q_d)$ have the same simplicial structure, so to apply Theorem 3.3, we need to find a lattice morphism $F : N^{\mathbb{P}^d} \longrightarrow N^{\mathbb{P}(q_0,...,q_d)}$ that satisfies the necessary conditions. Define F by considering the map $\widetilde{F} : \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ defined by $\widetilde{F}(e_i) = q_i f_i$, and then letting F the induced map on the respective quotients. It is an easy exercise to see that F is a well-defined morphism of lattices, and satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 3.3.

□

We can use Theorem 7.2 and cdh-descent to calculate the KH-theory (up to degree 0) of weighted projective spaces of the form $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, ..., 1, a)$. We do so in the following corollary.

Corollary 7.3. *Consider the d-dimensional weighted projective space* $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, ..., 1, a)$, *with* $a \geq 2$. *Then* $\mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, ..., 1, a)) = 0$ *for* $n \leq -1$ *and* $\mathrm{KH}_0(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, ..., 1, a)) = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$.

Proof. We begin by giving a resolution of its singularities for $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, ..., 1, a)$. The fan for $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, ..., 1, a)$ is generated by the 1-dimensional cones

$$\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_d, -e_1 - e_2 - \cdots - e_{d-1} - ae_d\}. \tag{7.1}$$

The only singular cone is $\langle e_1, e_2, ..., e_{d-1}, -e_1 - e_2 - \cdots - e_{d-1} - ae_d\rangle$, and after refining our fan by adding the cone generated by $-e_d$, we get a smooth toric variety; call this smooth variety $\widetilde{X}$. Now notice that the star of the cone $-e_d$ is just the fan for $\mathbb{P}^{d-1}$, so we get the blow-up square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{P}^{d-1} \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{X} \arrow[d] \\
\{*\} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,...,1,a)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and we obtain a resolution of singularities for $\mathbb{P}(1,1,...,1,a)$, as desired.

Let $i : \mathbb{P}^{d-1} \longrightarrow \widetilde{X}$ be as above, let $\pi : \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ denote the morphism induced by the lattice morphism $(x_1, ..., x_{d-1}, x_d) \mapsto (x_1, ..., x_{d-1})$, and let $f = \pi \circ i$. To understand f, begin by picking an element $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$. Applying the map i, this corresponds to a "line" in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, given by (z, t) for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then under $\widetilde{\pi}$, this line again maps to z. Applying the appropriate functors, we see that f is an isomorphism. Now since KH satisfies cdh descent, it gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,...,1,a)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}_n(\widetilde{X}) \oplus \mathrm{KH}_n(k) \xrightarrow{\alpha_n}$$

$$\mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}^{d-1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}_{n-1}(\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,...,1,a)) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Our goal is to show that α_n is surjective. Since α_n is the difference of the morphism $i_n^* : \mathrm{KH}_n(\widetilde{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}^{d-1})$ and the morphism $j_n^* : \mathrm{KH}_n(k) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}^{d-1})$, it is enough to show that i_n^* is surjective. But $f = \pi \circ i$ was shown to be an isomorphism, so for every n, the composition $i_n^* \circ \pi_n^*$ is an isomorphism, and i_n^* is indeed surjective. By exactness, this means that the group $\mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,...,1,a))$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{KH}_n(\widetilde{X}) \oplus \mathrm{KH}_n(k)$ for every n; therefore, $\mathrm{KH}_n(\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,...,1,a)) = 0$ for $n \leq -1$. In the case $n = 0$, we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}_0(\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,...,1,a)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KH}_0(\widetilde{X}) \oplus \mathrm{KH}_0(k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d \longrightarrow 0$$

which obviously splits. Since $\widetilde{X}$ is a smooth, projective toric variety, we have that $\mathrm{KH}_0(\widetilde{X})$ is a free abelian group of finite rank by [MP, Corollary 7.8]; therefore, $\mathrm{KH}_0(\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,...,1,a))$ is itself free abelian. By Theorem 7.2, we get $\mathrm{KH}_0(\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,1,...,1,a)) = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$, as desired.

□

8. The $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$ groups for Complete Simplicial Toric Surfaces and for Weighted Projective Spaces $\mathbb{P}(a,b,c)$

Having calculated the $\mathrm{KH}(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ groups for weighted projective spaces in Theorem 7.2, we are now ready to examine the $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$ groups. Recall from [CHW, Theorem 1.6] that $(\mathcal{F}_K)_n(X) = H^{-n}_{Zar}(X, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{HC}}[1])$; see [CHW, Definition 1.4] for the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{HC}}$. The results of [CHW] only hold in the characteristic 0 case; the characteristic p case has been examined separately, but the calculations are more difficult. Given this, we will restrict ourselves in most of our remaining results to the case when the characteristic of the underlying field is 0.

In [CHSW], the authors prove that if k is a field of characteristic 0 and X an k-scheme essentially of finite type and of dimension d, then X is K_{-d}-regular and for $n < -d$, we have $\mathrm{K}_n(X) = 0$. Our goal will be to derive stronger K-regularity results for complete toric varieties, and in particular weighted projective spaces. We begin with the case of complete toric surfaces, with a particular emphasis on $\mathbb{P}(a,b,c)$ (where $a, b, c > 1$ and all are pairwise relatively prime). We will extend these results to higher dimensional complete toric varieties and weighted projective spaces (satisfying extra conditions) in Section 9. We begin by with the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1 ($\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$ Decomposition Theorem). *Let X be any complete toric surface, and let U_{σ_1}, U_{σ_2},...,U_{σ_m} be all the open sets associated to a maximal cone in the fan Δ_X. Then we have*

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(X) \cong (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_1}) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_m}) \tag{8.1}$$

for all n.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number of open sets associated to maximal cones. Let $X = U_{\sigma_1} \cup U_{\sigma_2}$. Covering X by U_{σ_1} and U_{σ_2} and using Zariski descent, we have the long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \quad & (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(X) & \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_1}) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_2}) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \cdots \longrightarrow \quad & (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_1} \cap U_{\sigma_2}) & \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_{n-1}(X) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

Now recalling that $U_{\sigma_1} \cap U_{\sigma_2} = U_{\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2}$, we know immediately that $U_{\sigma_1} \cap U_{\sigma_2}$ is smooth (since any toric variety is normal and therefore smooth in codimension 1). So we have that $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_1} \cap U_{\sigma_2}) = 0$ for all n. Therefore, by exactness, we have that $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(X) \cong (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_1}) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_2})$ for all n as desired.

Now suppose the result is true for all $k < m$. Then we have $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} U_{\sigma_i}$ so we can cover X by the open sets Y and U_{σ_m}, where $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} U_{\sigma_i}$. Let $Z = Y \cap U_{\sigma_m}$. Then $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(Z) = 0$ for all n. To see this, simply observe that Z is covered by open sets $U_{\sigma_i \cap \sigma_m}$ (which are smooth) and the intersection of any two such open sets give the torus (which is smooth); thus by Zariski descent, $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(Z) = 0$ for all n as claimed. Using Zariski descent, we get the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow \quad & (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(X) & \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(Y) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_m}) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \cdots \longrightarrow \quad & (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(Z) & \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_{n-1}(X) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

However, since $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(Z) = 0$ for all n, we get that

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(X) \cong (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(Y) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_m}) \tag{8.4}$$

for all n by exactness. By applying our induction hypothesis to Y, we have

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(X) \cong (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_1}) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_m}) \tag{8.5}$$

for all n, completing the inductive step.

□

So our problem reduces to calculating $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_i})$, for all i. Doing so is in most cases extremely difficult; however, this does provide us with an approachable way to determine if $\mathbb{P}(a,b,c)$ is K_0-regular. To make this determination, we use the following result, due to Gubeladze.

Lemma 8.2 (Gubeladze). *For any regular ring R and any monoid M, we have $\mathrm{K}_n(R) = \mathrm{K}_n(R[M]) = 0$ for $n \leq -1$. Therefore, if $X = U_\sigma$ is an affine toric variety, then $\mathrm{K}_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{K}_n(X) = 0$ for $n \leq -1$. Consequently, $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(X) = 0$ for $n \leq 0$.*

Proof. See [Gub, Theorem 1.3]. The K_0 part may also be found in [CHWW, Proposition 5.7].

□

Corollary 8.3. *Any complete toric surface is* K_0*-regular.*

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 8.1 and Lemma 8.2.

□

Remark 8.4. Applying Corollary 8.3 to the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(a, b, c)$ establishes part (b) of Theorem 1.1.

9. The $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$ groups for Weighted Projective Spaces of Higher Dimensions

Unfortunately, the techniques of Section 8, particularly Theorem 8.1, do not extend to higher dimensions in general. The problem that arises is that, while we could always conclude that $U_{\sigma_i} \cap U_{\sigma_j}$ was smooth when our variety was dimension 2, it is not true in general that $U_{\sigma_i} \cap U_{\sigma_j}$ is smooth if the dimension of our variety is bigger than 2.

Example 9.1. *Consider the* 3*-dimensional weighted projective space* $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 4)$. *The fan is given by all subsets of the set of* 1*-dimensional cones* $\{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (-1, -2, -4)\}$. *Consider the two cones* $\sigma_1 = \langle(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (-1, -2, -4)\rangle$ *and* $\sigma_2 = \langle(1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (-1, -2, -4)\rangle$. *I claim that the intersection* $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 = \langle(1, 0, 0), (-1, -2, -4)\rangle$ *is singular. Indeed, for this cone to be smooth, we would need to be able to find a vector* $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ *such that the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & a \\ 0 & -2 & b \\ 0 & -4 & c \end{pmatrix} \tag{9.1}$$

has determinant ± 1. *But this is impossible because the determinant of this matrix is* $4b - 2c$ *and there are no integers* b *and* c *that make this equation equal to* ± 1. *So* $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ *is singular.*

As Example 9.1 shows, $U_{\sigma_i} \cap U_{\sigma_j}$ does not need to be smooth even in dimension 3, and so we cannot express $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(X)$ as a direct sum of the $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_i})$'s. However, if we impose additional conditions on X, we can still recover an analog of Theorem 8.1 in dimensions $d > 2$.

Theorem 9.2. *Let* X *be a complete toric variety of dimension* $d > 2$, *and suppose that the dimension of the singular set of* X *is* 0 *(that is,* X *is smooth in all codimensions* $\leq d - 1$*). Let* U_{σ_1}, U_{σ_2},…,U_{σ_m} *be all the open sets associated to a maximal cone in the fan* Δ_X. *Then we have*

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(X) \cong (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_1}) \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_{\sigma_m}) \tag{9.2}$$

for all n.

Proof. First notice that the dimension of a singular cone is precisely the codimension of the singularities created by that cone. So the statement that X is smooth in all codimensions $\leq d-1$ is equivalent to saying that the only possible singular cones of Δ_X are maximal cones. With this observation, the proof is almost word-for-word the same as the proof for Theorem 8.1. The only difference is that, for $Z = Y \cap U_{\sigma_m}$, the fact that $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(Z) = 0$ for all n isn't as easy to see. However, observe that Z is covered by open sets $U_{\sigma_i \cap \sigma_m}$ which are smooth by assumption, and that the intersection of any two of these is also smooth. Now proceed by induction on the size of the cover of Z; we leave the details as an exercise to the interested reader.

□

Using Theorem 9.2, we can now derive results that are analogous to those proven at the end of Section 8.

Corollary 9.3. *Let X be a complete toric variety of dimension $d > 2$, and suppose that the dimension of the singular set of X is 0. Then X is* K_0*-regular.*

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 9.2 and Lemma 8.2.

□

Remark 9.4. Applying Corollary 9.3 to any weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2, ..., q_d)$ where the singular set consists of only isolated points establishes part (c) of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 9.3 gives us a way to examining non-trivial classes of higher dimensional weighted projective spaces, as the following example demonstrates.

Example 9.5. *Consider the d-dimensional weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2, ..., q_d)$ where $\gcd(q_i, q_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$. The fan is generated by the 1-dimensional cones*

$$\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_d, -q_1e_1 - q_2e_2 - \cdots - q_de_d\}. \tag{9.3}$$

As always, every 1-dimensional cone is smooth, and obviously every cone involving only the e_i's are smooth also. So the only possibly non-smooth cones are those involving the cone $-q_1e_1 - q_2e_2 - \cdots - q_de_d$. To show the singular set consists of only isolated singular points, we need to consider non-maximal cones involving $-q_1e_1 - q_2e_2 - \cdots - q_de_d$ and see that they are still smooth. Let us consider the cone

$$\sigma = \langle e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, ..., e_{i_k}, -q_1e_1 - q_2e_2 - \cdots - q_de_d\rangle. \tag{9.4}$$

Notice that $k \leq d - 2$ since if $k = d - 1$ then σ would be a maximal cone. Also notice that if σ is shown to be smooth whenever $k = d - 2$, then it is smooth for all choices of k since we can just extend by the $(d-2)-k$ vectors that are omitted. So we can assume $k = d - 2$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $e_{i_j} = e_j$, so that we have

$$\sigma = \langle e_1, e_2, ..., e_{d-2}, -q_1e_1 - q_2e_2 - \cdots - q_de_d\rangle. \tag{9.5}$$

For σ to be smooth, we need to be able to find a vector $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -q_1 & \alpha_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -q_2 & \alpha_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & -q_3 & \alpha_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -q_{d-2} & \alpha_{d-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -q_{d-1} & \alpha_{d-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -q_d & \alpha_d \end{pmatrix} \tag{9.6}$$

has determinant ± 1*. The determinant is* $\alpha_{d-1}q_d - \alpha_d q_{d-1}$ *so if we can find integers* α_{d-1} *and* α_d *such that* $\alpha_{d-1}q_d - \alpha_d q_{d-1} = 1$ *then we have extended to a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-basis of* $\mathbb{Z}^d$ *as desired. But since* $\gcd(q_{d-1}, q_d) = 1$*, such an* α_{d-1} *and* α_d *can indeed be found; taking those choices for* α_{d-1} *and* α_d *and letting* $\alpha_i = 0$ *for* $i \leq d-2$ *gives us the desired extension. The argument is analogous for all other possible choices for* σ*. Therefore, provided that* $\gcd(q_i, q_j) = 1$ *for* $i \neq j$*, we see that* $\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2, ..., q_d)$ *satisfies the conditions for Corollary 9.3, and we conclude that any weighted projective space* $\mathbb{P}(1, q_1, q_2, ..., q_d)$ *with* $\gcd(q_i, q_j) = 1$ *for all* $i \neq j$ *is* K_0*-regular.*

As a consequence of Example 9.5, weighted projective spaces of the form $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, ..., 1, a)$, where $a \geq 2$, are K_0-regular. This gives rise to our next theorem.

Theorem 9.6. *Consider the* d*-dimensional weighted projective space* $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, ..., 1, a)$*, where* $a \geq 2$*. Then* $\mathrm{K}_n(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, ..., 1, a)) = 0$ *for* $n \leq -1$ *and* $\mathrm{K}_0(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, ..., 1, a)) = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$.

Proof. Corollary 9.3 and our work in Example 9.5 shows that $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, ..., 1, a)$ is K_0-regular. Applying Corollary 7.3 then gives us the result.

□

Remark 9.7. Theorem 9.6 establishes part (d) of Theorem 1.1, and therefore completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

10. Additional K-regularity Results

We conclude this paper by making two final observations regarding K-regularity of weighted projective spaces. We begin by observing that weighted projective spaces are not K_1-regular in general, via the following theorem.

Theorem 10.1. *The weighted projective space* $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ *is not* K_1*-regular.*

Proof. By Theorem 8.1, $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)) \cong (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(U_\sigma)$, where $\sigma = \langle (1, 0), (-1, -2) \rangle$. But $U_\sigma = \operatorname{Spec}\left(k[u, v, w]/\langle uw - v^2 \rangle\right)$, and by [CHWW2, Theorem 4.3], $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_1\left(k[u, v, w]/\langle uw - v^2 \rangle\right) \neq 0$, giving the result.

□

However, if the dimension of our weighted projective space is bigger than 2 and the singular set has dimension bigger than 0, then our weighted projective space need not be K_0-regular either. To see this requires different techniques than what we've presented so far. We say that X is K_N-regular if, following the notation of [CHW], $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}(X)$ is N-connected; that is, if $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_n(X) = 0$ for all $n \leq N$. N-connectivity for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{HH}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{HC}}$ is defined similarly. Then by the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}$, the SBI-sequence, and [CHW, Lemma 1.5], we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}}(X)$ is 0-connected if and only if $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{HH}}(X)$ is -1-connected.

Theorem 10.2. *If* X *is the projective space* $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 4)$*, then* X *is* K_{-1}*-regular. Furthermore,* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{HH}}(X)$ *is not* -1*-connected. As a consequence,* X *is not* K_0*-regular, and* $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_0(X) \neq 0$.

Proof. Begin by observing that X has four affine open sets associated to maximal cones. These sets are the prime spectra of the following rings: $k[x, y, y^{-1}, z, z^{-1}]$, $k[x, x^{-1}, y, z, z^{-1}]$, $k[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}, z]$, and $k[x^{-1}, y^{-1}, z^{-1}, x^{-4}z, y^{-2}z]$. The proof that X is K_{-1}-regular works almost the same as the proof of Theorem 8.1 (using the open cover given by the above affine open sets), and is left as an exercise. To see that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{HH}}(X)$ is not -1-connected, consider the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow (\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{HH}})_{-1}(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HH}_{-1}(X) \longrightarrow \pi_{-1}\mathbb{H}_{cdh}(X, \mathrm{HH}) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (10.1)$$

Now recalling the Hodge Decomposition for Hochschild homology from [Wei2], we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{-1}\mathbb{H}_{cdh}(X, \mathrm{HH}) &= H^1_{cdh}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^2_{cdh}(X, \Omega^1_X) \oplus H^3_{cdh}(X, \Omega^2_X) \\ \mathrm{HH}_{-1}(X) &= H^1_{Zar}(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^2_{Zar}(X, \Omega^1_X) \oplus H^3_{Zar}(X, \Omega^2_X) \oplus A.Q. \end{aligned}$$

where A.Q. denotes the André-Quillen homology pieces. X is given by taking the quotient of $\mathbb{P}^3$ by the action of the group $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$; therefore, $H^i_{cdh}(X, \Omega^{i-1}_X) = [H^i_{cdh}(\mathbb{P}^3, \Omega^{i-1}_{\mathbb{P}^3})]^G = 0$ for all i. So we can conclude that $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{HH}})_{-1}(X) \neq 0$ if we can show $\mathrm{HH}_{-1}(X) \neq 0$. Consider the group $H^3_{Zar}(X, \Omega^2_X)$. Define the following modules: $M_1 = k[x, y, y^{-1}, z, z^{-1}]\langle dx \wedge dy, dx \wedge dz, dy \wedge dz\rangle$ and similarly with M_2 and M_3 (with rings $k[x, x^{-1}, y, z, z^{-1}]$ and $k[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}, z]$, respectively). Let M_4 be the module over the ring $k[x^{-1}, y^{-1}, z^{-1}, x^{-4}z, y^{-2}z]$ generated by the wedge products of any two of the five differentials $\{d(x^{-1}), d(y^{-1}), d(z^{-1}), d(x^{-4}z), d(y^{-2}z)\}$, and let M be the module $k[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}, z, z^{-1}]\langle dx \wedge dy, dx \wedge dz, dy \wedge dz\rangle$. The relevant portion of the Čech complex is:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \times M_4 \xrightarrow{d} M \longrightarrow 0$$

Consider the element $\frac{1}{xyz} dx \wedge dy \in M$. I claim this is not in the image of d. Indeed, observe that $d(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) = f_1 - f_2 + f_3 - f_4$. If we let g_i be the $dx \wedge dy$ term for f_i, where $i = 1, 2, 3$, and we let

$$\begin{aligned} f_4 = \quad & g_4 \quad d(x^{-1}) \wedge d(y^{-1}) + h_4 d(x^{-4}z) \wedge d(y^{-1}) + \\ & p_4 \quad d(x^{-1}) \wedge d(y^{-2}z) + q_4 d(x^{-4}z) \wedge d(y^{-2}z) \end{aligned}$$

(any additional terms in f_4 will not map to a $dx \wedge dy$ term in M, so we may exclude them), then we have that the image of d has $dx \wedge dy$ terms of the form

$$\left(g_1 - g_2 + g_3 - \frac{1}{x^2y^2} g_4 - \frac{4z}{x^5y^2} h_4 - \frac{2z}{x^2y^3} p_4 - \frac{8z^2}{x^5y^3} q_4 \right) dx \wedge dy. \quad (10.2)$$

So we would need Expression 10.2 to be $\frac{1}{xyz}$ for some choices of the g_i's, h_4, p_4, and q_4. However, this is impossible; indeed, we simply observe that any terms involving g_4, h_4, p_4, and q_4 all have powers of x and y in the denominator that are too large, that terms involving g_1, g_2, and g_3 do not include $\frac{1}{xyz}$, and that Laurant polynomials are determined by their coefficients. Consequently, $H^3_{Zar}(X, \Omega^2_X) \neq 0$, implying that $\mathrm{HH}_{-1}(X) \neq 0$, that $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{HH}})_{-1}(X) \neq 0$, and that X is not K_0-regular. Since X is K_{-1}-regular, this implies that $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{K}})_0(X) \neq 0$ as claimed.

□

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Christian Haesemeyer, whose hours of dedication and infinite patience in guiding me has made this work possible. I would also like to thank Mark Walker for his helpful comments on early drafts of this paper. Finally, I would like to thank both Justin Shih and Kenneth Maples for the many enlightening discussions and helpful comments that they both provided.

References

[BK] A.K. Bousfield and D.M. Kan, *Homotopy Limits, Completions, and Localizations.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 304, Springer, (1972).

[Cox] D.Cox, *Toric Varieties and Toric Resolutions.* in *Resolution of Singularities*, Progress in Mathematics. **181** Birkhäuser Basel Boston Berlin (2000), 259-284.

[CHW] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer and C. Weibel, K*-regularity, cdh-fibrant Hochschild homology, and a conjecture of Vorst*, Journal of the AMS 21 No. 2, (2008)

[CHWW] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer, Mark E. Walker and C. Weibel, *The* K*-theory of Toric Varieties*, Transactions of the AMS 361, 3325-3341, (2009)

[CHWW2] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer, Mark E. Walker and C. Weibel, K*-theory of Cones of Smooth Varieties*, To appear in *Journal of Algebraic Geometry.*

[CHSW] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer, M. Schlichting and C. Weibel, *Cyclic Homology, cdh-cohomology, and Negative* K*-Theory*, Ann. Math. 167 (2008), 549-573.

[Ful] W. Fulton, *Introduction to Toric Varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 131. Princeton University Press, (1993)

[Gub] J. Gubeladze, *K-Theory of Affine Toric Varieties*, Homology, Homotopy, and Applications. **1**, no. 5 (1999), 135-145

[Hae] C. Haesemeyer, *Descent Properties of Homotopy* K*-theory*, Duke Mathematical Journal **125**, no. 3, (2004)

[MP] A. Merkurjev and I.A. Panin, K*-Theory of Algebraic Tori and Toric Varieties*, K-Theory **12** no. 2, (1997) 101–143

[Sum] H. Sumihiro, *Equivariant Completion, I, II*, J. Math, Kyoto University **14** (1974), 1-28; **15** (1975), 573-605.

[Tho] R.W. Thomason, *Algebraic* K*-theory and Étale cohomology*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4) **18** (1985), no. 3, 437-552.

[TT] R.W. Thomason and Thomas Trobaugh, *Higher Algebraic* K*-theory of Schemes and of Derived Categories*, in *The Grothendieck Festschrift Volume III*, Progress in Mathematics. **88** Birkhäuser Basel Boston Berlin, (1990) 247-435

[Wei1] C. Weibel, *Homotopy Algebraic* K*-theory*, in *Contemporary Mathematics*, Algebraic K-Theory and Algebaric Number Theory. **83**, (1989), 461-488

[Wei2] C. Weibel, *Cyclic Homology of Schemes*, in *Proc. AMS 124* (1996)

E-mail address: `amassey3102@math.ucla.edu`

Mathematics Department, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095